|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA** | **)** | **IN THE PROBATE COURT** |
|  | **)** |  |
| **COUNTY OF CHARLESTON** | **)** | **CASE NO. 2018-ES-10-0000** |
|  | **)** |  |
| **IN RE: ESTATE OF**  **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** | **)**  **)** |  |
|  | **)** |  |
| **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, and \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_,** | **)**  **)**  **)** |  |
|  | **)** | **ORDER DENYING MOTION TO** |
| **Petitioners,** | **)** | **RECONSIDER, ALTER & AMEND** |
|  | **)** | **ORDER DATED \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_, 2018** |
| **-v-** | **)** |  |
|  | **)** |  |
| **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, Individually, and \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, as Special Administrator for the Estate of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_,** | **)**  **)**  **)**  **)** |  |
|  | **)** |  |
| **Respondents.** | **)** |  |
|  | **)** |  |

**THIS MATTER** comes before the Court upon a Motion to Reconsider, Alter & Amend Order dated and filed \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_, 2017 by \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. The present Motion is captioned as a “Motion of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_” and is properly considered as a Rule 59(e) motion to alter or amend judgment. The Motion to Reconsider, Alter & Amend Order filed raises no new argument, therefore, it is hereby:

**ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_’s Motion to Reconsider, Alter & Amend Order dated \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_, 2017 is respectfully **DENIED**.

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED** this \_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2018.

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**ASSOCIATE JUDGE OF PROBATE**